arXiv:1402.2109v2 [math.AG] 3 Mar 2014

THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP AND TORSION GROUP OF BEAUVILLE SURFACES

INGRID BAUER, FABRIZIO CATANESE, DAVIDE FRAPPORTI

Abstract. We give a survey on the fundamental group of surfaces isogenous to a higher product. If the surfaces are regular, e.g. if they are Beauville surfaces, the first homology group is a finite group. We present a MAGMA script which calculates the first homology groups of regular surfaces isogenous to a product.

Introduction

One aim of this note is to give an overview of what is known about the fundamental groups and more specifically about the first homology groups of Beauville surfaces and of their generalizations. In particular, we wrote a MAGMA script which calculates the torsion group of Beauville surfaces, or more generally of regular surfaces isogenous to a higher product.

Fundamental groups of algebraic varieties are a very interesting area of research and they are still quite mysterious objects, since usually it may be very hard to determine them. On the other hand, if one can calculate them in some specific cases (e.g., for surfaces of general type) they are a powerful tool to conclude that two surfaces (of general type) are not contained in the same connected component of their moduli space.

Here we will restrict ourselves to the following situation: let C_1 and C_2 be projective algebraic curves of respective genera g_1, g_2 at least 2 and let G be a subgroup of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(C_1 \times C_2)$. We denote by X the quotient $(C_1 \times C_2)/G$; when X is singular, we denote by S the minimal resolution of singularities of X.

We define $G^0 := G \cap (\mathrm{Aut}(C_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(C_2))$. Then (compare [Cat00] for this and the following assertions) G^0 is a subgroup of index at most 2 inside $G < \mathrm{Aut}(C_1 \times C_2)$ and acts on each factor and "diagonally" on the product $C_1 \times C_2$ (i.e., for each $\gamma \in G^0$, we can write $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, and $\gamma(x_1, x_2) = (\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_2))$).

By [Cat00], it is always possible to assume that G^0 acts faithfully on both factors: in this case we say that $(C_1 \times C_2)/G$ is a *minimal realization*, and this minimal realization is moreover unique. From now on we shall assume that we have indeed a minimal realization. Then (see [Cat00]) there are two cases.

The *mixed case* is the case where the action of G exchanges the two factors: in this case $C_1 \cong C_2$ and $G^0 \lhd_2 G$.

The *unmixed case* is the case where $G = G^0$, and its two projections into $\mathrm{Aut}(C_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, are injective. Identifying then G to a subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(C_j)$, for $j = 1, 2$,

Date: March 4, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J29, 58E40, 14Q10, 20F34.

we say that G acts diagonally: this means that we view G as the diagonal subgroup inside $G \times G \subset (\mathrm{Aut}(C_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(C_2))$.
In the unmixed case, S is called a *product-quotient surface* and X is its *quotient model*; while in the mixed case, S is a *mixed surface* and X is a *mixed quotient*.

In this note we want to focus on the *unmixed case*, so from now on we implicitly assume that $G = G^0$. Some of the results can be extended/generalized to the mixed case, but the approach is slightly different. We refer to [Fra13, FP13] for further details.

In the first section we describe product-quotient surfaces and the algebraic data which determine them. In the second section we comment on the results on the fundamental group of product-quotient surfaces and their higher-dimensional analogues. The third section is dedicated to the description of a MAGMA script which, given as input a finite group G and two ordered tuples

$$T_1 = (n_1, \dots, n_r), \quad T_2 = (m_1, \dots, m_s),$$

has as output

- one representative surface $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ for each irreducible connected component of the moduli space, where G is acting freely with signatures (T_1, T_2),
- for each such representative, the first homology group $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ of S.

Finally, in the last section, we show several concrete and explicit calculations, obtained through a direct application of our program.

1. Product-Quotient Surfaces

Let G be a finite group and let S be a *product-quotient surface* with *quotient model* $X = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$. If G acts freely, then X is smooth, and we have the following:

Definition 1.1. A surface S is said to be *isogenous to a (higher) product* if S is the quotient $(C_1 \times C_2)/G$, where $g_i := genus(C_i) \geq 2$, and G is a finite group acting freely on $C_1 \times C_2$.

The word "higher" means that the respective genera of C_1, C_2 are ≥ 2, in particular this implies that S is of general type (and with ample canonical divisor). However, for commodity, from now on we shall drop the word "higher".

In the last years, a huge amount of new surfaces of general type with $p_g = q$ have been constructed as the quotient of a product of two curves by the action of a finite group; see [BC04, BCG08, BCGP12, BP12, BP13, Fra13, FP13] for $p_g = 0$, [CP09, Pol08, Pol09, MP10, FP13] for $p_g = 1$, [Pen11, Zuc03] for $p_g = 2$.
In particular, we have a complete classification of surfaces isogenous to a product with $p_g = q$. The case where G does not act freely is still open (and particularly difficult in the regular case $q \neq 0$), in spite of several results. A difficulty which is peculiar of the regular case is that the following can happen: the minimal resolution S of singularities X may not be minimal surface. Up to now there are almost no techniques to decide whether there are exceptional curves of the first kind (i.e.,

smooth rational curves with self intersection (-1)) on S and how to find them explicitly (cf. [BP13]).

The description of product- quotient surfaces is accomplished through the theory of Galois coverings between projective curves (also named "Riemann surfaces").

Definition 1.2. Let $g \geq 0$ and $m_1, \ldots, m_r > 1$ be integers. The *orbifold surface group of signature* $(g; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ is defined as:

$$\mathbb{T}(g; m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g, c_1, \ldots, c_r \mid c_1^{m_1}, \ldots, c_r^{m_r}, \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{g}[a_i, b_i] \cdot c_1 \cdots c_r \rangle .$$

Given a finite group H, a *generating vector* for H of signature $(g; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ is a $(2g + r)$-tuple of elements of H:

$$V := (d_1, e_1, \ldots, d_g, e_g; h_1, \ldots, h_r)$$

such that

- V generates H,
- $\prod_{i=1}^{g}[d_i, e_i] \cdot h_1 \cdot h_2 \cdots h_r = 1$ and
- there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(h_i) = m_{\sigma(i)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

If $g = 0$, then $V := (h_1, \ldots, h_r)$ is called a *spherical system of generators* of H of signature $(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$.

To give a generating vector of signature $(g; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ for a finite group H is equivalent to give an *appropriate orbifold homomorphism*

$$\psi \colon \mathbb{T}(g; m_1, \ldots, m_r) \longrightarrow H,$$

i.e., a surjective homomorphism ψ such that $\psi(c_i)$ has order m_i.

Remark 1.3. By Riemann's existence theorem (see [BCP11]), any curve C of genus g together with an action of a finite group H on it, such that C/H is a curve C' of genus g', is determined (modulo automorphisms) by the following data:

(1) the branch point set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\} \subset C'$;
(2) generators $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{g'}, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{g'}, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ of $\pi_1(C' \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\})$, where each γ_i is a simple geometric loop around p_i and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{g'}[\alpha_i, \beta_i] \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \gamma_r = 1 \, ;$$

(3) a generating vector V for H of signature $(g'; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ with the property that *Hurwitz's formula* holds:

$$2g - 2 = |H| \left(2g' - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} \right). \tag{1.1}$$

Remark 1.4. Let C be a curve with an action of a finite group H on it and consider the Galois covering $c \colon C \to C/H$. The appropriate orbifold homomorphism $\psi \colon \mathbb{T}(g'; m_1, \ldots, m_r) \to H$ associated to V is induced by the monodromy of the

Galois étale H-covering $c^0\colon C^0 \to C'^0$ induced by c, where C'^0 is the curve obtained from C' by removing the branch points $p_1, \dots, p_r$ of c, and $C^0 := c^{-1}(C'^0)$.

Let $h_i := \psi(c_i) \in H$: h_i is called the *local monodromy element* and generates the stabilizer of a point in $c^{-1}(p_i)$. We also define

$$\Sigma(V) := \bigcup_{g\in G}\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\{g\cdot h_i^j\cdot g^{-1}\},$$

as the set of stabilizers for the action of H on C.

Remark 1.5. Let $S \to X := (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a product-quotient surface. The two Galois covering $C_i \to C_i/G =: C_i'$ determine a pair (V_1, V_2) of generating vectors of G. The action of G on $C_1 \times C_2$ is free if and only if (V_1, V_2) is *disjoint*, that is

$$\Sigma(V_1) \cap \Sigma(V_2) = \{1\}.$$

Conversely, a pair (V_1, V_2) of generating vectors of G of respective signatures $T_1 = (g_1'; m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $T_2 = (g_2'; n_1, \dots, n_s)$, determines a family of product-quotient surfaces of dimension $M_1 + r + M_2 + s$, where $M_i = 3g_i' - 3$.

In particular, the product-quotient surface can only be rigid (i.e., has no non-trivial deformations), if $r = s = 3$ and $g_1' = g_2' = 0$. If the action is non free, then it can happen that the above family has dimension 0, still the surface is not rigid, i.e., it has non trivial deformations which are no longer product-quotient surfaces.

Instead, if S is isogenous to a product, it follows by the result of the second author below that S is rigid if and only if $r = s = 3$ and $g_1' = g_2' = 0$.

Theorem 1.6 ([Cat00])**.** *a) A projective smooth surface S is isogenous to a product of two curves of respective genera $g_1, g_2 \geq 2$, if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*
1) there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \Pi_{g_1} \times \Pi_{g_2} \to \pi = \pi_1(S) \to G \to 1,$$

where G is a finite group and where Π_{g_i} denotes the fundamental group of a projective curve of genus $g_i \geq 2$;
2) $e(S)(= c_2(S)) = \dfrac{4}{|G|}(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1)$.

b) Write $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$. Any surface X with the same topological Euler number and the same fundamental group as S is diffeomorphic to S and is also isogenous to a product. There is a smooth proper family with connected smooth base manifold T, $p\colon \mathcal{X} \to T$ having two fibres respectively isomorphic to X, and Y, where Y is one of the 4 surfaces $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$, $S_{+-} := (\overline{C_1} \times C_2)/G$, $\overline{S} = (\overline{C_1} \times \overline{C_2})/G$, $S_{-+} := (C_1 \times \overline{C_2})/G = \overline{S_{+-}}$.

c) The corresponding subset of the moduli space of surfaces of general type $\mathfrak{M}_S^{top} = \mathfrak{M}_S^{diff}$, corresponding to surfaces orientedly homeomorphic, resp. orientedly diffeomorphic to S, is either irreducible and connected or it contains two connected components which are exchanged by complex conjugation.

In particular, if S' is orientedly diffeomorphic to S, then S' is deformation equivalent to S or to $\overline{S}$.

Definition 1.7. Let $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a surface isogenous to a product. S is called a *Beauville surface* if $r = s = 3$ and $g(C_1/G) = g(C_2/G) = 0$.

A corollary of the above Theorem 1.6 (see [Cat00]) is that a Beauville surface is rigid, it has no nontrivial deformations.

Remark 1.8. Observe that, by [Cat89] (cf. also [Ser96]), if $S \to X := (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ is a product-quotient surface, then $q(S) = g(C_1/G) + g(C_2/G)$. Therefore, any Beauville surface is regular.

The concept of Beauville surfaces was introduced by the second author in 1997, and their global rigidity was shown in [Cat00]. They were first systematically studied in [BCG05], where the connection between the algebro-geometric background and the group theoretic description was explained in more detail, many new examples were constructed, and a lot of conjectures were presented. Quite a number of these conjectures have been solved in the meantime and there exists a substantial literature on Beauville surfaces nowadays, which is reflected by the several contributions to the present volume.

2. The fundamental group

Let $S := (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be the minimal realization of a surface isogenous to a product: then the surface $C_1 \times C_2$ is a Galois étale covering space of S with group G and we have already observed (in Theorem 1.6) that the fundamental group of S sits into an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(C_1) \times \pi_1(C_2) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1\,.$$

If one drops the assumption about the freeness of the action of G on the product $C_1 \times C_2$, there is no reason that the behaviour of the fundamental group of the quotient should be similar to the above situation. Nevertheless, in the unmixed case, it turns out that the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ admits a very similar description.

Definition 2.1. We call the the fundamental group $\Pi_g := \pi_1(C)$ of a projective curve of genus g a *(genus g) surface group.*

Theorem 2.2 ([BCGP12])**.** *Let C_1 and C_2 be projective curves of genus at least 2 and let G be a finite group acting on each C_i as a group of automorphisms and diagonally on the product $C_1 \times C_2$.*

Let S be the minimal desingularization of $X := (C_1 \times C_2)/G$. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(S)$ has a normal subgroup $\mathcal{N}$ of finite index which is isomorphic to the product of surface groups, i.e., there are integers $h_1, h_2 \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{N} \cong \Pi_{h_1} \times \Pi_{h_2}$.

Remark 2.3. 1) The previous theorem holds also in dimension $n \geq 3$, see [BCGP12] and [DP12].
2) In the case of surfaces isogenous to a product we have that h_i equals the genus of C_i, for $i = 1, 2$.

Especially the case of infinite fundamental groups is the one where the above structure theorem turns out to be extremely helpful in order to give an explicit description of these groups. As we will explain in the sequel, it is easy to get a presentation of the fundamental group of a product-quotient surface. But, in general, a presentation of a group does not say much about the group. Even the problem whether it is trivial or not is in general an undecidable problem. Here, since we know that π_1 is a "surface times surface by finite" group, we go through its normal subgroups of finite index until such a subgroup $\mathcal{N}$ appears.

We recall now how to compute the fundamental group of a product-quotient surface $S \to X = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ starting from the associated algebraic data:

$$\psi_i \colon \mathbb{T}_i \longrightarrow G \qquad i = 1, 2\,.$$

Remark 2.4. The kernel of ψ_i is isomorphic to the fundamental group $\pi_1(C_i)$, and the action of $\pi_1(C_i)$ on the universal cover $u \colon \hat{C}_i \to C_i$ extends to a properly discontinuous action of $\mathbb{T}_i$. Moreover, u is ψ_i-equivariant and $\hat{C}_i/\mathbb{T}_i \cong C_i/G_i$.

There are two short exact sequences:

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(C_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_i \xrightarrow{\psi} G \longrightarrow 1\,, \quad i = 1, 2\,. \tag{2.1}$$

We define the fibre product

$$\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{H}(G; \psi_1, \psi_2) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \mid \psi_1(x) = \psi_2(y)\}\,.$$

The exact sequences in (2.1) induce then an exact sequence:

$$1 \to \pi_1(C_1) \times \pi_1(C_2) \to \mathbb{H}(G; \psi_1, \psi_2) \to \Delta_G \cong G \to 1\,,$$

where $\Delta_G \subset G \times G$ denotes the diagonal subgroup.

Definition 2.5. Let H be a group. Then $\mathrm{Tors}(H)$ is the normal subgroup generated by the torsion elements of H (i.e., the elements of finite order in H).

Proposition 2.6 ([BCGP12, Proposition 3.4])**.** *Let $S \to X = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a product-quotient surface and let*

$$\psi_i \colon \mathbb{T}_i \longrightarrow G \quad i = 1, 2$$

be the associated appropriate orbifold homomorphisms. Then

$$\pi_1(S) = \pi_1(X) = \mathbb{H}/\mathrm{Tors}(\mathbb{H})\,.$$

A very special and theoretically easy case is:

Corollary 2.7. *Let $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a surface isogenous to a product. Then $\pi_1(S) = \mathbb{H}$ and $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{H}^{ab}$.*

In the literature there are several articles on the construction and classification of surfaces birational to the quotient of a product of curves; we have already cited most of them. In some cases the authors provide a computer-script to compute the fundamental group (or the first homology group) of the surfaces they study.

Nevertheless, in this note we take the opportunity to give a simple algorithm in the special case, which includes the case Beauville surfaces, where $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ is a *regular* surface isogenous to a product (equivalently , $g_1' = g_2' = 0$).

We now recall how to determine whether two regular surfaces isogenous to a product of unmixed type are deformation equivalent (cf. [BC04, BCG08]).

By Remark 1.8, and as observed above, S regular means that $C_i/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$ the set of disjoint pairs (V_1, V_2) of spherical systems of generators of G of respective signatures T_1 and T_2.

Let $V = [h_1, \ldots, h_r]$ be a r-tuple of elements of G and $1 \leq i \leq r$. We consider the usual *Hurwitz move* $\sigma_i(V)$ defined by

$$\sigma_i(V) := [h_1, \ldots, h_{i-1}, h_i h_{i+1} h_i^{-1}, h_i, h_{i+2}, \ldots, h_r] .$$

It is well known that $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ generate the braid group on r letters $\mathbf{B}_r$, and that $\mathbf{B}_r$ maps spherical system of generators to spherical system of generators, and preserving the signature. Also the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ of G acts on the set of spherical systems of generators of a fixed signature by simultaneous application of an automorphism φ to the coordinates of a r-tuple: $\varphi(V) := [\varphi(h_1), \ldots, \varphi(h_r)]$. We get the following action of $\mathbf{B}_r \times \mathbf{B}_s \times \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $\mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$: let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \varphi) \in \mathbf{B}_r \times \mathbf{B}_s \times \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and $(V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$, where T_1 has length r and T_2 has length s, we set

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \varphi) \cdot (V_1, V_2) := (\varphi(\gamma_1(V_1)), \varphi(\gamma_2(V_2))) .$$

We denote this action by $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 2.8 (cf. [BCG08, Theorem 5.2])**.** *Let S and S' be two regular surfaces, both isogenous to a product of unmixed type, and with associate pairs of spherical system of generators (V_1, V_2), respectively (V_1', V_2').*

Then S and S' are deformation-equivalent if and only if the respective groups are isomorphic, $G(S) \cong G(S')$, and either (V_1, V_2) and (V_1', V_2') belong to the same $\mathcal{H}$-orbit or (V_1, V_2) and (V_2', V_1') do.

Remark 2.9. Given a finite group G and two signatures T_1 and T_2 of length r and s, it is then work for a computer to determine $\mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$, its orbits for the $\mathcal{H}$-action and the first homolgy group $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ of the associate surfaces S.

We have written a MAGMA script (see Appendix A) which takes as input G, T_1 and T_2, and returns as output a representative $(V_1, V_2) \in \mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$ for each $\mathcal{H}$-orbit, together with the first homology group $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ of the associate surface S. The outline of the script is the following:

- Step 1: we compute all the spherical systems of generators of G of respective signatures T_1 and T_2 and we collect them in orbits for the action of the braid group $\mathbf{B}_r$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}_s$).
- Step 2: we discard the pairs of orbits of non-disjoint spherical systems of generators.
- Step 3: the remaining pairs yield surfaces isogenous to a product. We consider the action of $Aut(G)$ on them, hence we get the $\mathcal{H}$-orbits in $\mathcal{B}(G; T_1, T_2)$. Observe that indeed it suffices to consider only the action of $Out(G)$, since the $Inn(G)$-action was already taken care of in the first step.
- Step 4: we run over the outputs of Step 3 and we compute their first homology group $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

3. Some applications

Surfaces isogenous to a product of unmixed type with $p_g = 0$ have been classified in [BCG08]. We run our script for these surfaces and we get:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a surface isogenous to a product of unmixed type, with $p_g(S) = 0$, then G is one of the groups in the Table 1 and the signatures are listed in the table. The number N of components in the moduli space, their dimension D and the first homology group of S are given in the last three columns.*

G	$Id(G)$	T_1	T_2	N	D	$H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$
$\mathcal{A}_5$	$\langle 60,5\rangle$	$[2,5,5]$	$[3,3,3,3]$	*1*	*1*	$(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_{15})$
$\mathcal{A}_5$	$\langle 60,5\rangle$	$[5,5,5]$	$[2,2,2,3]$	*1*	*1*	$(\mathbb{Z}_{10})^2$
$\mathcal{A}_5$	$\langle 60,5\rangle$	$[3,3,5]$	$[2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*2*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$
$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle 48,48\rangle$	$[2,4,6]$	$[2,2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*3*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$
G(32)	$\langle 32,27\rangle$	$[2,2,4,4]$	$[2,2,2,4]$	*1*	*2*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$
$(\mathbb{Z}_5)^2$	$\langle 25,2\rangle$	$[5,5,5]$	$[5,5,5]$	*1*	*0*	$(\mathbb{Z}_5)^3$
$\mathcal{S}_4$	$\langle 24,12\rangle$	$[3,4,4]$	$[2,2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*3*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$
G(16)	$\langle 16,3\rangle$	$[2,2,4,4]$	$[2,2,4,4]$	*1*	*2*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$
$D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle 16,11\rangle$	$[2,2,2,4]$	$[2,2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*4*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$
$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$	$\langle 16,14\rangle$	$[2,2,2,2,2]$	$[2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*4*	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^4$
$(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$	$\langle 9,2\rangle$	$[3,3,3,3]$	$[3,3,3,3]$	*1*	*2*	$(\mathbb{Z}_3)^5$
$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\langle 8,5\rangle$	$[2,2,2,2,2]$	$[2,2,2,2,2,2]$	*1*	*5*	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$

Table 1.

Remark 3.2. (1) In a previous paper [BC04] (containing the classification of surfaces isogenous to a product of unmixed type with $p_g = q = 0$ and G abelian) there is a mistake, an erroneous statement about commutators in a product. The error resulted into finding only a proper quotient of the actual first homology group.

(2) The correct calculation of the first homology group was done more than one year ago by the first author, who used a MAGMA script to find the correct answer.

(3) Another correction to do to [BC04] is that the authors forgot the possibility of swapping factors, hence there is only one isomorphism class of surfaces in the case $G = (\mathbb{Z}_5)^2$.

(4) Our computer calculations confirm the result of the paper [Sha13], dedicated to the calculation of the first homology groups of surfaces isogenous to a product of unmixed type with $p_g = q = 0$ and G abelian.

In [Gle13], the author classifies the regular surfaces isogenuos to a product of unmixed type with $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 2$; in particular he classifies the unmixed Beauville surfaces with $p_g = 1$. Applying our program we get the following:

Theorem 3.3 (cf. [Gle13])**.** *Let $S = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ be a Beauville surface of unmixed type, with $p_g(S) = 1$. Then G is one of the groups in Table 2 and the signatures are*

listed in the table. The number N of components in the moduli space and the first homology group of S are given in the last two columns.

G	$Id(G)$	T_1	T_2	N	$H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$
$PSL(2,7)\times\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle 336,209\rangle$	$[2,3,14]$	$[4,4,4]$	2	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$
$PSL(2,7)$	$\langle 168,42\rangle$	$[7,7,7]$	$[3,3,4]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_7\times\mathbb{Z}_{21}$
$PSL(2,7)$	$\langle 168,42\rangle$	$[3,3,7]$	$[4,4,4]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_4\times\mathbb{Z}_{12}$
$G(128,36)$	$\langle 128,36\rangle$	$[4,4,4]$	$[4,4,4]$	2	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3\times(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$

TABLE 2.

Let $G=\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$, where q and is a prime power and $q\leq 9$. For $q\leq 5$, no group has a disjoint pair of spherical generators (see [BCG05]), while in the other cases the "admissible" pairs of signatures are classified (see [Gar10]). The outputs of our script are collected in Table 3. We use the same notation of the previous tables and we add a column reporting the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

G	$Id(G)$	T_1	T_2	N	$H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$	$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$
$PSL(2,7)$	$\langle 168,42\rangle$	$[3,3,4]$	$[7,7,7]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_7\times\mathbb{Z}_{21}$	2
		$[3,4,4]$	$[7,7,7]$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_7\times\mathbb{Z}_{28}$	4
		$[4,4,4]$	$[7,7,7]$	2	$(\mathbb{Z}_{28})^2$	6
		$[4,4,4]$	$[3,7,7]$	4	$\mathbb{Z}_4\times\mathbb{Z}_{28}$	4
		$[4,4,4]$	$[3,3,7]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_4\times\mathbb{Z}_{12}$	2
$PSL(2,8)$	$\langle 504,156\rangle$	$[2,7,7]$	$[3,3,9]$	3	$\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_{21}$	6
		$[2,7,7]$	$[3,9,9]$	3	$\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_{63}$	12
		$[2,7,7]$	$[9,9,9]$	7	$\mathbb{Z}_9\times\mathbb{Z}_{63}$	18
		$[7,7,7]$	$[9,9,9]$	14	$(\mathbb{Z}_{63})^2$	48
		$[7,7,7]$	$[2,9,9]$	6	$\mathbb{Z}_7\times\mathbb{Z}_{63}$	20
		$[7,7,7]$	$[2,3,9]$	6	$\mathbb{Z}_7\times\mathbb{Z}_{21}$	4
		$[7,7,7]$	$[3,9,9]$	6	$\mathbb{Z}_{21}\times\mathbb{Z}_{63}$	32
		$[7,7,7]$	$[3,3,9]$	6	$(\mathbb{Z}_{21})^2$	16
$PSL(2,9)\cong\mathcal{A}_6$	$\langle 360,118\rangle$	$[3,3,5]$	$[4,4,4]$	1	$(\mathbb{Z}_{12})^2$	3
		$[3,5,5]$	$[4,4,4]$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_4\times\mathbb{Z}_{60}$	6
		$[5,5,5]$	$[4,4,4]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_{20}\times\mathbb{Z}_{60}$	9
				2	$(\mathbb{Z}_{20})^2$	
		$[5,5,5]$	$[3,3,5]$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_5\times\mathbb{Z}_{15}$	3
				2	$(\mathbb{Z}_{15})^2$	

TABLE 3.

In Table 4, we collect the outputs in some other easy cases: $G \in \{\mathcal{S}_5, \mathcal{S}_6, (\mathbb{Z}_7)^2\}$. One can prove (using a simple MAGMA script), that if $X = (C_1 \times C_2)/G$ is a Beauville surface with $G \in \{\mathcal{S}_5, \mathcal{S}_6, (\mathbb{Z}_7)^2\}$, then its signature (T_1, T_2) is in Table 4.

G	$Id(G)$	T_1	T_2	N	$H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$	$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$
$\mathcal{S}_5$	$\langle 120, 34\rangle$	$[4,4,5]$	$[3,6,6]$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{24}$	3
$\mathcal{S}_6$	$\langle 720, 763\rangle$	$[5,6,6]$,	$[4,6,6]$	8	$(\mathbb{Z}_6)^2$	35
		$[5,6,6]$,	$[4,4,6]$	16	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{24}$	28
		$[5,6,6]$,	$[4,4,4]$	8	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$	21
		$[3,6,6]$,	$[4,4,4]$	5	$(\mathbb{Z}_{12})^2$	15
		$[2,5,6]$,	$[4,4,4]$	1	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$	6
$(\mathbb{Z}_7)^2$	$\langle 49, 2\rangle$	$[7,7,7]$	$[7,7,7]$	7	$(\mathbb{Z}_7)^3$	4

TABLE 4.

APPENDIX A. THE SCRIPT

```
// TuplesOfGivenOrder creates a sequence of the same length as the input
// sequence type, whose entries are subsets of the group in the input,
// and precisely the subsets of elements of order the corresponding
// entry of type

TuplesOfGivenOrders:=function(G,type)
SEQ:=[];
for i in [1..#type-1] do
  EL:={g: g in G| Order(g) eq type[i]};
  if IsEmpty(EL) then return [{}];
  else Append(~SEQ,EL);
end if; end for;
return SEQ;
end function;

// This transforms a tuple into a sequence

TupleToSeq:=func<tuple|[x: x in tuple]>;

// Now we create all sets of spherical generators of a group of the
// prescribed signature.

VectGens:=function(G, type)
Vect:={}; SetCands:=TuplesOfGivenOrders(G,type);
for cand in CartesianProduct(SetCands) do
  if Order(&*cand) eq type[#type] then
    if #sub<G|TupleToSeq(cand)> eq #G then
      Include(~Vect, Append(TupleToSeq(cand),(&*cand)^-1));
```

```
end if; end if; end for; ;
return Vect;
end function;

// HurwitzOrbit, starting from a sequence seq of elements of a group,
// creates all sequences of elements which are equivalent to the given one
// for the equivalence relation generated by the Hurwitz moves
// and returns (to spare memory) only the ones whose entries have
// orders disposed as the ones in seq

HurwitzMove:= func<seq,j|Insert(Remove(seq,j),j+1,seq[j]^seq[j+1])>;

HurwitzOrbit:=function(seq)
orb:={ }; shortorb:={  }; Trash:={ seq };
repeat ExtractRep(~Trash,~gens); Include(~orb, gens);
  for k in [1..#seq-1] do
    newgens:=HurwitzMove(gens,k);
    if newgens notin orb then Include(~Trash, newgens);
end if; end for; until IsEmpty(Trash);
for gens in orb do  test:=true;
  for k in [1..#seq] do
    if not Order(gens[k]) eq Order(seq[k]) then
      test:=false; break k;
  end if; end for;
  if test then Include(~shortorb, gens); end if;
end for;
return shortorb;
end function;

// OrbitsVectGens creates a sequence
// whose entries are subsets of the group in the input,
// and precisely the subsets  are the orbits under the
// Hurwitz moves

OrbitsVectGens:=function(G, type)
Orbits:=[];   Vects:=VectGens(G, type);
while not IsEmpty(Vects) do
  v:=Rep(Vects);  orb:=HurwitzOrbit(v);
  Append(~Orbits, orb); Vects:=Vects diff orb;
end while;
return Orbits;
end function;

// Disjoint checks if two spherical systems of generators are disjoint

Stab:= function(seq, G)
M:= {} ;
for i in [1..#seq] do g:=seq[i];
```

```
  for n in [1 .. (Order(g)-1) ] do
    M := M join Conjugates(G,g^n) ;
end for; end for;
return M;
end function ;

Disjoint:=func<G,seq1, seq2 | IsEmpty( Stab(seq1,G) meet Stab(seq2,G))>;

// Homology computes the first homology group
// of the surface associated to the disjoint pair
// of spherical systems of generators (seq1, seq2) of G

Poly:=function(seq, gr)
F:=FreeGroup(#seq);  Rel:={}; Q:=Id(F);
for i in {1..#seq} do
  Q:=Q*F.(i); Include(~Rel,F.(i)^(Order(seq[i])));
end for;
Include(~Rel,Q); P:=quo<F|Rel>;
return P, hom<P->gr|seq>;
end function;

Homology:=function(G, seq1,  seq2)
T1,f1:=Poly(seq1,G);   T2,f2:=Poly(seq2,G);
T1xT2:=DirectProduct(T1,T2);
 GxG,inG:=DirectProduct(G,G);
if Category(G) eq GrpPC then
  n:=NPCgens(G);
  else n:=NumberOfGenerators(G);
end if;
Diag:= hom<G->GxG| [inG[1](G.j)*inG[2](G.j): j in [1..n]]>(G);
f:=hom<T1xT2->GxG| inG[1](seq1) cat inG[2](seq2)>;
Pi1:=Rewrite(T1xT2,Diag@@f);
return AbelianQuotient(Pi1);
end function;

// Surfaces is the main function.
// It takes as input a group G and two signatures.
// It calls the previous function and moreover identifies
// distinct pairs of Hurwitz's orbits of spherical systems of
// generators under the action of Aut(G).
// Note that we consider only the action of Out(G), since
// the Inn(G)-action was already taken care of in HurwitzOrbit.

Surfaces:=function(G, type1,type2)
R:={}; Aut:=AutomorphismGroup(G);
F,q:=FPGroup(Aut);     O1,p:=OuterFPGroup(Aut);
Out,k:=PermutationGroup(O1);
V1:=OrbitsVectGens(G, type1);
```

```
if type1 eq type2 then V2:=V1;
  else V2:=OrbitsVectGens(G,type2);
end if;
W:=Set(CartesianProduct({1..#V1},{1..#V2}));
for pair  in W do
  v1:= Rep(V1[pair[1]]); v2:= Rep(V2[pair[2]]);
  if not Disjoint(G, v1,v2) then Exclude(~W, pair);
 end if;
end for;
while not IsEmpty(W) do
  pair:=Rep(W);
  v1:= Rep(V1[pair[1]]); v2:= Rep(V2[pair[2]]);Include(~R,[v1,v2]);
  for phi in Out do
    if exists(x){y: y in W | (q(phi@@(p*k)))(v1) in V1[y[1]] and
     (q(phi@@(p*k)))(v2) in V2[y[2]]} then Exclude(~W, x);
      if type1 eq type2 then Exclude(~W, <x[2],x[1]>);
    end if; end if;
    if IsEmpty(W) then break phi; end if;
end for; end while;
printf "Number of components: %o\n", #R;
for r in R do
  printf "Spherical generators:\n%o\n%o\n", r[1],r[2];
  printf "Homology:\n%o\n", Homology(G,r[1],r[2]);
end for;
return {};
end function;
```

References

[BC04] I. Bauer and F. Catanese. Some new surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$. In Turin Univ. Torino, editor, *The Fano Conference*, pages 123–142, 2004.

[BCG05] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, and F. Grunewald. Beauville surfaces without real structures. In *Geometric methods in algebra and number theory*, volume 235 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–42. Birkhäuser Boston, 2005.

[BCG08] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, and F. Grunewald. The classification of surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$ isogenous to a product of curves. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 4(2):547–586, 2008.

[BCGP12] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, F. Grunewald, and R. Pignatelli. Quotients of products of curves, new surfaces with $p_g = 0$ and their fundamental groups. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 134(4):993–1049, 2012.

[BCP11] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, and R. Pignatelli. Surfaces of general type with geometric genus zero: A survey. In *Complex and Differential Geometry*, volume 8, pages 1–48. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, 2011.

[BP12] I. Bauer and R. Pignatelli. The classification of minimal product-quotient surfaces with $p_g = 0$. *Mathematics of Computation*, 81(280):2389–2418, 2012.

[BP13] I. Bauer and R. Pignatelli. Product-Quotient Surfaces: new invariants and algorithms. arXiv:1308.5508, 2013.

[Cat89] F. Catanese. Everywhere nonreduced moduli spaces. *Invent. Math.* 98 (1989), no. 2, 293–310.

[Cat00] F. Catanese. Fibred surfaces, varieties isogenous to a product and related moduli spaces. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 122(1):1–44, 2000.

[CP09] G. Carnovale and F. Polizzi. The classification of surfaces with $p_g = q = 1$ isogenous to a product of curves. *Advances in Geometry*, 9(2):233–256, 2009.

[DP12] T. Dedieu and F. Perroni. The fundamental group of a quotient of a product of curves. *J. Group Theory*, 15(3):439–453, 2012.

[FP13] D. Frapporti and R. Pignatelli. Mixed quasi-étale quotients with arbitrary singularities. ArXiv:1302.3717, 2013; to appear in Glasgow Math. J.

[Fra13] D. Frapporti. Mixed quasi-étale surfaces, new surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ and their fundamental group. *Collect. Math.*, 64(3):293–311, 2013.

[Gar10] S. Garion. On Beauville Structures for PSL(2,q). ArXiv: 1003.2792, 2010.

[Gle13] C. Gleißner. The Classification of Regular Surfaces Isogenous to a Product of Curves with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. ArXiv:1310.3110, 2013.

[MP10] E. Mistretta and F. Polizzi. Standard isotrivial fibrations with $p_g = q = 1$, II. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, 214(4):344–369, 2010.

[Pen11] M. Penegini. The classification of isotrivially fibred surfaces with $p_g = q = 2$. *Collect. Math.*, 62(3):239–274, 2011. With an appendix by Sönke Rollenske.

[Pol08] F. Polizzi. On surfaces of general type with $p_g = q = 1$ isogenous to a product of curves. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(6):2023–2053, 2008.

[Pol09] F. Polizzi. Standard isotrivial fibrations with $p_g = q = 1$. *J. Algebra*, 321(6):1600–1631, 2009.

[Ser96] F. Serrano. Isotrivial fibred surfaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 171(4):63–81, 1996.

[Sha13] T. Shabalin. Homology of some surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$ isogenous to a product. arXiv:1311.4048, 2013.

[Zuc03] F. Zucconi. Surfaces with $p_g = q = 2$ and an irrational pencil. *Canad. J. Math.*, 55(3):649–672, 2003.

Author's Adress:
Ingrid Bauer, Fabrizio Catanese, Davide Frapporti
Lehrstuhl Mathematik VIII
Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bayreuth, NW II
Universitätsstr. 30; D-95447 Bayreuth, Germany